Gen. 288]

#### PUBLIC INFORMATION

911 System—Recordings Of All 911 Calls Are "Public Re-DISCLOSURE OF THE RECORDINGS ARE WITHIN CERTAIN EXCEPTIONS TO CORDS" GENERALLY SUBJECT TO DISCLOSURE, BUT PORTIONS

April 4, 1986

Mr. John G. Rouse, III Chairman, Emergency Number Systems Board

the disclosure requirements of the Maryland Public Information calls to 911 Emergency Telephone System centers are subject to under which disclosure may or must be denied. Act (the "PIA") and, if so, whether there are any circumstances You have requested our opinion on whether tape recordings of

For the reasons stated below, we conclude that:

- ters are "public records" under the PIA 1. Recordings of calls to 911 Emergency Telephone System cen-
- logical information about an individual may not be disclosed.1 2. The portion of any recording that contains medical or psycho-
- disclosure, but only if disclosure would be contrary to the public 3. Recordings of calls for police assistance may be withheld from
- in the extraordinary situation in which a court is asked to withhold otherwise available information.2 4. All other recordings must be disclosed upon request, except

# 911 Emergency Telephone System

number for the State of Maryland." Article 41, \$204H-1(e). tablished the number 911 as "the primary emergency telephone sis." Article 41, \$204H-1(d).3 To that end, the General Assembly esate emergency aid] caused by lack of familiarity with emergency now codified at Article 41, §§204H-1 through 204H-8 of the Marynumbers and by understandable confusion in circumstances of criing of a need "to eliminate delays [in citizens' summoning appropriland by Chapter 730 of the Laws of Maryland of 1979. That statute, land Code, was enacted in response to the General Assembly's find-The 911 Emergency Telephone System was established in Mary-

second state to have a 911 system in effect state-wide.4 City and in each of Maryland's counties. Maryland thus became the As of July 1, 1985, a 911 system was in operation in Baltimore

the needed assistance. swering point determine the nature of the emergency and route clock in the county where the call is made. Personnel at that ancally reaches a public safety answering point operated around the the call to the appropriate agency for response or directly dispatch lance services. When the 911 number is dialed, the caller automatiemergency access to police, fire fighting, and emergency ambu-The 911 system in each jurisdiction provides citizens with easy

munication centers. selves are physically maintained in the local 911 emergency comof all incoming calls. COMAR 12.11.03.05E and F.5 The tapes themby the Board include electronic recording; with playback capability, operation. The minimum requirements for 911 systems established tion or expansion of 911 systems and review and coordinate their Systems Board, which must approve all local plans for the installa-The county systems are overseen by the Emergency Number

logical information."  $^1See\ also\ Part\ III\ D\ 2$  below, which discusses the possible nondisclosure of "socio

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup>This opinion confirms the substance of a prior advice letter on this issue. Letter from Dennis M. Sweeney, Deputy Attorney General, to Russell E. Wroten, Chief of Police of Ca 'idge, Maryland (June 26, 1984).

<sup>&</sup>quot;concer[n] that avoidable delays in reaching appropriate emergency aid [were] occurring to the jeopardy of life and property." Article 41, \$204H-1(b) and (c). bers exist[ed] throughout the State and within any one county" and expressed its ways summoned by telephone and that a multiplicity of emergency telephone num-The General Assembly "recognize[d] that [emergency] assistance is almost al-

has, of course, historically prided itself on being the "first state." As it happens, Maryland was preceded by our good neighbor Delaware—which

eral prohibition against wiretapping, under \$10-402(c)(4) of the Courts Artic The taping of such emergency telephone calls is lawful, notwithstanding the gen-

Gen. 288]

# Public Information Act Disclosure Requirements

ernment Article ("SG" Article), is designed to afford the public a SG \$10-613(a).6 wise provided by law, a custodian shall permit a person or govern-\$10-612(a). To that end, the PIA requires that, "[e]xcept as other ment and the official acts of public officials and employees." SG general right of "access to information about the affairs of governmental unit to inspect any public record at any reasonable time." The PIA, codified at \$\$10-611 through 10-628 of the State Gov-

v. Mezzanote, 297 Md. 26 (1983). whether on the State or local level. See A.S. Abell Publishing Co. records of every agency that carries out governmental functions, of public business." SG \$10-611(f). Thus, the PIA applies to all the cluding a tape recording—that "is made by a unit or instrumentaliby the unit or instrumentality in connection with the transaction ty of the State government or of a political subdivision or received A "public record" is any documentary material-expressly in-

of the Attorney General 702, 705 (1976) (clerk of court may not State Police v. Henschen, 279 Md. 468 (1977). See also 61 Opinions able to anyone who requests them. Superintendent, Maryland of the PIA. Thus, unless the recordings are specifically exempted agencies subject to the PIA and that the tape recordings of tele-911 emergency centers operated by the counties are governmental by person seeking inspection). deny access to marriage records, regardless of their intended use from the PIA's disclosure requirements, they must be made availphone calls to those centers are public records within the meaning In light of the PIA's broad scope, there is no question that the

#### Exceptions to Disclosure

#### A. Introduction

cords. Those exceptions should, as a general matter, be construed quire or permit a custodian to deny requests for inspection of reto public records, the Act contains a number of provisions that renarrowly, to promote public access to information about governmental activities. Despite the PIA's general purpose to permit broad public access

cumstances, we think that releasing the record to anyone other than the person in interest would be "an unwarranted invasion of ceptions can and should be construed somewhat more liberally than would otherwise be the case disclosure of such a call is not the person in interest, the PIA's exmate personal information about the caller or others. In those circonstrued in favor of permitting inspection of a public record."7 privacy of a person in interest would result, [the PIA] shall be cordingly provides that, "unless an unwarranted invasion of the als who are subjects of governmental records. SG \$10-612(b) ac Particular calls for emergency assistance might well reveal intiinformation is counterbalanced by the right to privacy of individu-[that person's] privacy." Consequently, when the applicant seeking At the same time, the PIA recognizes that the public's right to

# B. Privileged or Confidential Records

client or psychiatrist-patient privilege, or by other confidentiality ed by common-law or statutory privileges, such as the attorneyrequirement that "privileged or confidential" records be withheld exceptions applies to the recordings of calls made to 911 centers. of Appeals, or a court order. SG \$10-615. However, none of those contrary to State or federal law, the rules adopted by the Court dential" by law or (ii) inspection of a particular record would be from public inspection, by its terms, applies only to records protectrequirements. See, e.g., 66 Opinions of the Attorney General 98 While callers might prefer that their calls be kept confidential, the that (i) the information they contain is made "privileged or confi-Public records must be withheld from disclosure to the extent

responsible for keeping the public record or who actually has physical custody and control of the record. SG §10-611(c). Because the Emergency Number Systems or employees operate the 911 systems in the various political subdivisions. request for access to those tapes must be directed to the local government officials of the local systems' tapes, it is not the custodian of those tapes. Therefore, any Board does not itself operate any 911 system nor receive physical custody of any "6The "custodian" of a public record is the governmental officer or employee who is

ject of the records, or that person's designee or legal representative. SG §10-^--'e). 'The "person in interest" with regard to a public record is any person who is the sub-

Gen. 288]

closure of information concerning food stamp recipients).8 inspection of calls to 911 centers. Cf. 7 U.S.C. \$2020(e) (limiting dis-Nor does any federal or State law or court rule generally prevent 103 (1981); 64 Opinions of the Attorney General 236, 239 (1979).

### C. Other Personal Records

\$10-616. However, records of calls for emergency assistance are erwise made confidential be withheld from public inspection. SG 911 centers are therefore not automatically and wholly exempt not included in that list of protected records. Records of calls to from disclosure under that section. The PIA itself requires that certain enumerated records not oth-

#### D. Personal Information

# Medical and psychological information

statements concerning an injured or ill person's symptoms or condiinformation" that must be withheld. appropriate emergency medical care, are "medical or psychological tion, provided to a 911 center operator for the purpose of obtaining ical or psychological information about an individual." In our view, to "deny inspection of the part of a public record that contains medwithheld from public disclosure. SG \$10-617(b) requires a custodian The PIA requires that certain specific types of information be

viewed to determine whether portions of it contain information that Therefore, if access to a tape is requested, the tape must be reclude public access to the entire record, however—it is only the part must be deleted before the tape's release.9 that contains the protected information that must be withheld. The inclusion of such information in a public record does not pre-

### Sociological information

studies. Thus, for example, the Department of Public Safety and a model regulation along these lines. conditions of confidentiality that customarily attend sociological personal information that a person would disclose only under the ance, the apparent intent is to permit the protection of the kind of this subsection." Although the PIA does not provide further guidregulations that define sociologi-cal information for purposes of held, if—but only if—"the official custodian has adopted rules or Number Systems Board might wish to consider the preparation of tendance." COMAR 12.11.02.02M(2) (a) and (g). The Emergency tionships, beliefs, values, etc.," and "[r]eligious preference and atto parole and probation authorities, as including "[p]ersonal rela-Correctional Services has defined "sociological data," with respect SG \$10-617(c) requires that "sociological information" be with-

## Discretionary Nondisclosure

our view, recordings of calls to 911 centers for police assistance other law enforcement ... purpose." SG \$10-618(f)(1)(i) and (ii). In compiled for any other law enforcement ... purpose." enforcement agency, but they are part of "an investigatory file generally are not "records of an investigation conducted by" a law partment, or a sheriff" and "an investigatory file compiled for any of investigations conducted by ... a State's attorney, ... a police dethe records specifically there designated. Those include "records est." SG \$10-618(a). That section, like SG \$10-616, applies only to if inspection by the applicant "would be contrary to the public intercretion to deny inspection of particular parts of specified records withheld from public inspection, the PIA also grants custodians dis-In addition to requiring that certain records or information be

### Records of investigations

The same is true, in our opinion, of records of calls to 911 centers "should such records contain such investigatory material, they tion. They contain no information whatever concerning the actual because they "merely reflect the end result of a police investigafice concluded that arrest logs are not "records of investigations" for police assistance. may very well be subject to the [SG \$10-618(f)(1)(i)] exception." Id. investigation." At the same time, the Attorney General noted that In 63 Opinions of the Attorney General 543, 547 (1978), this of

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup>Article 27, \$739 prohibits disclosure or review of expunged police records pertaining to a criminal proceeding. However, those records by definition do not include calls for police assistance. tion purposes." Article 27, \$735(e). That exclusion clearly encompasses records of "investigatory files [or] police work-product records used solely for police investiga-

to the applicaclosure request and of the procedures for review of the denial that are available be given prompt written notice of the reasons and authority for any denial of a dis-9We direct your attention also to SG \$10-614(b)(3), under which an applicant must

A call to a 911 center does not directly convey any information to law enforcement officials. The centers are not themselves part of any of the agencies enumerated in SG \$10-618(f)(1)(i), and the 911 operator who takes a call simply dispatches needed police assistance to the location indicated. Only on rare occasions do law enforcement officials review the recording of such a call as part of an investigation. Thus, like arrest logs, records of calls to 911 centers ordinarily "contain no information whatever concerning the actual investigation" conducted by a law enforcement agency. Should the record of a call actually be used in an investigation, however, it would be a record of the investigation.

#### Investigatory files

However, we think that records of calls for police assistance are part of "an investigatory file compiled for any other law enforcement... purpose," within the meaning of SG \$10-618(f)(1)(ii). Those calls trigger an investigation, at least to the extent of a police response to ascertain whether further law enforcement action is needed. In our view, the recorded complaint that triggers such an investigation is part of an "investigatory file." And the records of calls to 911 centers are compiled for the law enforcement purpose of ensuring that police assistance is promptly dispatched in an emergency.

Federal courts construing the analogous exception in the Freedom of Information Act (the "FOIA") have held that letters triggering agency investigations are covered by that exception. 10 E.g., Evans v. Department of Transportation, 446 F.2d 821, 824 (5th Cir. 1971) (letter inquiring how to bring pilot's abnormal behavior to attention of proper authorities was part of investigatory file); Luzaich v. United States, 435 F. Supp. 31, 34, aff'd per curiam, 564 F.2d 101 (8th Cir. 1977) (unsolicited anonymous tip advising Internal Revenue Service to audit taxpayer was investigatory record).

The Court of Appeals has held that FOIA decisions are persuasive as to the interpretation of the PIA. Faulk v. State's Attorney, 299 Md. 493, 506 (1984). Hence, Maryland courts would, we think, likewise conclude that the records of complaints that trigger investigations constitute "an investigatory file," whether they are embodied in tape recordings or written communications.

whether the information on the recording is such that disclosure 28 (4th ed. 1985). In particular, the custodian should consider should also take account of the harms specified in SG \$10-618(f)(2) SG \$10-618(a). In considering "the public interest," the custodian closure of investigatory records). Moreover, any other person is enspecified in SG \$10-618(f)(2). See generally 64 Opinions of the Atonly to the extent that disclosure would cause one of the harms does not by itself mean that the recordings may be withheld. First, would "constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." See Attorney General's Office, Public Information Act Manual inspection of the record "would be contrary to the public interest." titled to access unless the custodian has reason to conclude that if the applicant is a person in interest, nondisclosure is authorized an "investigatory file compiled for [a] law enforcement purpose" torney General 236, 241-43 (1979) (discussing grounds for nondis-However, the conclusion that 911 calls for police assistance are

## F. Court-Ordered Nondisclosure

Finally, the PIA provides for temporary denial of inspection of any public record when "the official custodian believes that inspection would cause substantial injury to the public interest." SG \$10-619(a). The official custodian must petition the circuit court for an order permitting continued nondisclosure within 10 days of the original denial under this section. The person who sought access to the record must be notified of that action and has the right to appear and be heard in the court's proceeding on the petition.

The governmental entity in such a proceeding bears the burden of proving that disclosure would do *substantial* injury to the *public* interest. *Cranford v. Montgomery County*, 300 Md. 759, 780 (1984). Moreover, meeting that burden of proof may be difficult, for the PIA generally "shall be construed in favor of permitting inspection of a public record." SG \$10-612(b). This "extraordinary" procedure is very rarely invoked. *See Public Information Manual* at 35.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup>As originally enacted, the FOIA exception authorized nondisclosure of "investigatory files complied for law enforcement purposes except to the extent available by law to a private party." *See NLRB v. Robbins Tire & Rubber Co.*, 437 U.S. 214, 221-22 (1978). It now authorizes nondisclosure of "investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes, but only to the extent that the production of such records would" harm specified governmental interests. 5 U.S.C. \$552 (b)(7). Under the FOIA, the term "investigatory records" is narrower than "investigatory files." *See* 437 U.S. at <sup>229</sup>-30.

Gen. 297]

#### VI

#### Conclusion

In summary, it is our opinion that:

- ters are "public records" under the PIA. 1. Recordings of calls to 911 Emergency Telephone System cen-
- logical information about an individual may not be disclosed 2. The portion of any recording that contains medical or psycho-
- disclosure, but only if disclosure would be contrary to the public 3. Recordings of calls for police assistance may be withheld from
- in the extraordinary situation in which a court is asked to withhold otherwise available information. 4. All other recordings must be disclosed upon request, except

STEPHEN H. SACHS Attorney General

Assistant Attorney General EMORY A. PLITT, JR.

Assistant Attorney General C. J. Messerschmidt

Jack Schwartz Chief Counsel Opinions and Advice

> PUBLIC INFORMATION—"MEDICAL OR PSYCHOLOGICAL INFORMA-TION"—"PERSON OF INTEREST"—TAPE RECORDING OF INVOL-TIENT OR AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE. UNTARY ADMISSION HEARING MAY BE DISCLOSED ONLY TO PA-

May 12, 1986

Arthur Cohen, J.D., M.P.H. Acting Chief Hearing Examiner Department of Health and Mental Hygiene Office of Hearings

granted access to the taped record of that hearing. a mental health facility. Specifically, you ask whether a hospital cording of a hearing for the involuntary admission of a patient to whose professional staff had participated at the hearing may be You have requested our opinion concerning access to a tape re-

the consent of the patient.1 any other requester, including participants in the hearing, without the patient or his or her representative. It may not be disclosed to ing of an involuntary admission hearing may be disclosed only to For the reasons stated below, we conclude that the tape record-

## Involuntary Admission Hearings

#### A. Purpose

admitted to a facility as an involuntary patient or released without a right to "a hearing to determine whether the individual is to be make the required determination: is intended to develop a record upon which the hearing officer may Article).2 The hearing, conducted by an impartial hearing officer, being admitted." \$10-632(a) of the Health-General Article ("HG" involuntary admission" to a public or private mental health facility The Mental Hygiene Law affords to "any individual proposed for

A narrow exception to this general conclusion is discussed in note 9 below.

public or private clinic, hospital, or other institution that provides or purpor 2"Except as otherwise provided in [the Mental Hygiene Law], 'facility' means any